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1.0 INTRODUCTION
The local and regional aviation industry is currently undergoing rapid expansion in line with improving economic and tourism activities within our country and also the Asia Pacific region. However, the aviation industry is also a very competitive industry, which requires large capital investment, and airline companies are constantly trying to improve their efficiencies in order to remain profitable. The importance of improving efficiencies is made even more important in view of the current high oil prices.

Therefore, the recent introduction of the super-sized aircraft, Airbus A-380 is indeed welcomed by the local and also international aviation industry. The introduction of Airbus A-380 with its standard seating capacity of 555 people is expected to lower operating cost per kilometre by 15% to 20% per seat compared to Boeing 747. The lower operating cost together with the increasing difficulties in getting additional landing/frequency slots at major airports have led to the decision by our Malaysia Airlines (MAS) to purchase 6 units of the A-380 which is expected to commence operation by 2007.

The introduction of the super-sized aircraft presents new and unique challenges to the civil engineering profession. For example, the aircraft with its unprecedented span of 79.750m, length of 72.772m (Figure 1) and weight of 562,000kg would require facilities such as hangar to be designed with very large span and the pavement designed to support the heavy weight of the aircraft. In addition, the aircraft also uses a different landing gear configuration as compared to existing aircrafts, which will affect the method in which engineers design airport pavement.

Therefore, this article intends to highlight some aspects of design and evaluation of pavement to accommodate the new generation of aircrafts, i.e. Airbus A-380 and Boeing 777 in accordance with the requirements of International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) and U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).

2.0 CHARACTERISTICS OF AIRBUS A-380 AND BOEING 777
Traditionally, design and evaluation of airport pavement is based on semi-empirical methods where the pavement structural thickness required is based on theoretical analysis coupled with results of full-scale tests on in-service pavements. One of the most important criteria in the formulation of existing design methods is the gear and wheel arrangement.
Previous design methods made certain assumptions with regards to the gear and wheel arrangement in producing design charts such as those given by FAA for single wheel gear, dual wheel gear, dual tandem gear and also specific charts for wide body aircraft such as A-300, B747, etc. Examples of design charts given by FAA for the design of flexible and rigid pavements for B747 are shown in Figures 2 and 3 respectively.

However, gear and wheel arrangement for Airbus A-380 and Boeing 777 with its triple dual tandem (TDT) main gear are significantly different as compared to existing aircraft. The TDT main gear is unique as it has six wheels arranged as three pairs of wheels in a row. Figure 4 shows the arrangement of the double dual tandem main gear of Boeing 747-400 while Figure 5 shows the main gear arrangement for Airbus A-380.

In addition, the existing design charts only cater for a limited range of aircraft weights. The limited range of aircraft weights, different gear arrangement and coupled with the fact that the existing design charts involve some degree of empiricism renders the existing design charts not applicable for the design of pavement for Airbus A-380 and Boeing 777.

3.0 DESIGN OF AIRPORT PAVEMENT

There are many design methods for rigid pavement which are widely used such as the methods published by the International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO), US FAA, the Property Services Agency (PSA) Airfields Branch (UK), BAA plc, Transport Canada, Mincad Systems, Australia and Societe Techniques des Bases Aeriennes (STBA) France (Barling & Grimsdale, 2000). Design methods developed by FAA are based on the California Bearing Ratio (CBR) method for flexible pavements and the Westergaard analysis of edge loaded slabs for rigid pavement. Both methods incorporate some degree of empiricism especially the CBR method which is derived from results of field instrumentation in-service pavements. Therefore, due to the limited applicability of the empirical methods for the design of airport pavements for Airbus A-380 and Boeing 777, a new theoretical method is necessary.

The Layered Elastic Design Theory developed originally by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Waterways Experiment Station is recommended by the FAA to calculate design thicknesses for airfield pavements to address the impact of new gear and wheel arrangements such as the TDT main gear (Advisory Circular AC No: 150/5320-6D). This design method is computationally intense and a computer program called LEDFAA is available to assist in the design. LEDFAA can be downloaded from FAA's website (http://www.faa.gov). The user interface of the program LEDFAA is shown in Figure 6.

LEDFAA was developed and calibrated in order to produce pavement thickness designs consistent with previous methods based on a mixture of different aircraft rather than individual aircraft. Determination of a design aircraft is not
required in LEDFAA as compared to previous methods as the program calculates the damaging effects of each aircraft in the traffic mix. The design conditions are satisfied when the cumulative damage factor (CDF) sums a value of 1.0.

The required inputs for LEDFAA are as follows:

a) Design life of pavement;
b) Traffic mix;
c) Aircrafts load;
d) Pavement components;
e) Subgrade parameters;
f) Flexural strength of concrete (for rigid pavement).

3.1 Design life of pavement

The FAA design standard for pavements is based on a 20-year design life.

3.2 Traffic mix

As mentioned in the paragraph earlier, traffic mixture need not be converted into a single design aircraft and all annual departures converted to equivalent annual departures of the design aircraft. In LEDFAA, the traffic mix for all the aircrafts expected to use the pavement are keyedin into the program. The program analyzes the damage to the pavement section for each aircraft and determines a final thickness for the total cumulative damage.

3.3 Aircrafts load

Generally, pavements should be designed for the maximum anticipated take-off weight of the aircraft. However, other weights of aircraft for specific areas of the pavement where the aircraft loads are known can be considered during design. The following is a brief definition of some of the aircraft loadings terminology:

a) Maximum Design Ramp Weight (MRW)

This is the maximum weight for ground manoeuvre (including weight of taxi and run-up fuel) as limited by aircraft strength and airworthiness requirements. It is also called Maximum Design Taxi Weight (MTW).

b) Maximum Design Landing Weight (MLW)

This is the maximum weight for landing as limited by aircraft strength and airworthiness requirements.

c) Maximum Design Takeoff Weight (MTOW)

This is the maximum weight for takeoff as limited by aircraft strength and airworthiness requirements. (This is the maximum weight at start of the take-off run).

d) Maximum Design Zero Fuel Weight (MZFW)

This is the maximum permissible weight of the aircraft less usable fuel.

e) Operating Weight Empty (OWE)

This is the weight of structure, powerplant, furnishings, systems, and other items of equipment that are integral part of a particular aircraft configuration plus the operator's items. The operator's items are the flight and cabin crew and their baggage, unusable fuel, engine oil, emergency equipment, toilet chemical and fluids, galley structure, catering equipment, seats, documents, etc.

3.4 Pavement components

The designer is required to select the appropriate pavement components based on factors such as availability, ease of construction and cost. In addition, the minimum thickness of each layer must also be satisfied in order to obtain satisfactory design. The pavement components are as follows:

a) Flexible pavement

i) Hot mix asphalt surfacing

Minimum thickness of 5 inches (127mm) is required for traffic mixes that include aircraft with the TDT gear.

ii) Base course

Minimum thickness of 6 inches (150mm) is required for pavements serving aircraft with the TDT gear.

iii) Subbase

Minimum thickness of subbase for structural purposes is 3 inches (76mm).

b) Rigid pavement

i) Concrete pavement

Minimum concrete surfacing thickness is 6 inches (152mm).

ii) Subbase

Minimum thickness of subbase is 4 inches (102mm).

It should be noted that FAA requires a stabilised base and subbase courses for flexible pavement and stabilised subbase.
courses for all rigid pavements designed to accommodate aircraft weighing 100,000 pounds (45,400 kg) or more.

3.5 Subgrade parameters
Subgrade parameters required for the design of pavement is the California Bearing Ratio (CBR) for flexible pavement and the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) for rigid pavement. The CBR and k value can be determined from field tests in accordance to ASTM D 4229, Standard Test Method for Bearing Ratio of Soils in Place and AASHTO T 222, Nonrepetitive Static Plate Load Test of Soils and Flexible Pavement Components for Use in Evaluation and Design of Airport and Highway Pavements respectively. Laboratory tests and correlations can also be used for preliminary design if field values are not available. Table 1 shows some typical values of CBR and subgrade modulus for preliminary design purposes.

The National Cooperative Highway Research Program, USA has published preliminary correlations of CBR values with soil index properties for use in AASHTO's 2002 Design Guide (ARA, 2001). Generally, the subgrade is divided into two groups:

a) Coarse materials, clean, typically non-plastic such as GW, GP, SW, and SP for soils which $wPI = 0$.
b) Soils which contain more than 12% fines and exhibit some plasticity, such as GM, GC, SM, SC, ML, MH, CL and CH, for which $wPI > 0$.

The term, $wPI$, a weighted Plasticity Index is defined as:

$$wPI = P_{200} \times PI$$

where

- $P_{200} =$ percentage passing #200 sieve (in decimal)
- $PI =$ Plasticity Index (in %)

For coarse, clean soils ($wPI = 0$),

$$CBR = 28.09 \left(D_{60}\right)^{0.82}$$

where,

- $D_{60} =$ Diameter at 60% passing from the grain size distribution (mm)

The above equation is limited to $D_{60}$ values greater than 0.01mm and less than 30mm. For $D_{60}$ less than 0.01mm, the recommended value of CBR is 5. For $D_{60}$ greater than 30mm, the recommended value of CBR is 95.

For plastic soils ($wPI > 0$),

$$CBR = 75 / \left[1 + 0.728(wPI)\right]$$

In addition, as CBR tests are more commonly carried out in Malaysia compared to tests to determine $k$, the following preliminary correlation between CBR and $k$ based on the recommended range of values in Table 1 is proposed:

$$k (pci) = 31.823 \times CBR^{0.77}$$

It should be noted that FAA recommends that the design subgrade CBR should be chosen on the basis of the design value should be equal to or less than 85% of all the subgrade CBR values. This corresponds to a design value of one standard deviation below the mean.

FAA also recommends that the maximum CBR for unstabilised gravel subgrade shall be 50 and a design $k$ value of 500 lbs/in² (136 MN/m²) shall not be exceeded for any subgrade in the design of the pavement.

3.6 Flexural strength of concrete
As the primary action of a concrete pavement slab is flexure, concrete strength is assessed by the flexural strength. The flexural strength of the concrete can be determined by ASTM C 78 test method. It can also be estimated from the compressive strength using the formula below:

$$R = 9 \left(t' c\right)^{0.25}$$

where,

- $R =$ flexural strength (psi)
- $t' c =$ compressive strength (psi)

4.0 EVALUATION OF AIRPORT PAVEMENT
Pavement evaluation is necessary to assess the ability of an existing pavement to support different types, weights, or volumes of aircraft traffic. Therefore, with the introduction of Boeing 777 and Airbus A-380, some of the existing pavements will need to be evaluated to ensure satisfactory performance. Due to space constraint, only a brief introduction to pavement evaluation and overlay design are discussed.

The evaluation of pavement can be carried out based on records research, site inspection, sampling and testing (direct sampling procedures or non-destructive testing), etc. One of the commonly used tools in the evaluation of airport rigid pavements is the determination of the Pavement Condition Index (PCI). The PCI is a numerical rating indicating the operational condition of an airport pavement based on visual survey. PCI values range from 100 for a pavement with no defects to 0 for a pavement with no remaining functional life. The PCI is measured using ASTM standard test method D5340, Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Survey. Reference can also be made to Advisory Circular 150/5380-6A. Based on the PCI value, the Structural Condition Index (SCI) is derived which is a measure of the condition of the existing rigid pavement to assist in the design of overlays.

Once the existing pavement conditions are known, the design of the overlay can be carried out according to the following groups:

a) Hot mix asphalt overlay of existing flexible pavement
b) Concrete overlay of existing flexible pavement
c) Hot mix asphalt overlay of existing rigid pavement
d) Concrete overlay of existing rigid pavement

Existing pavements may also be evaluated for use by the new Boeing 777 and Airbus A-380 with the Aircraft Classification Number/Pavement Classification Number (ACN/PCN) system described in ICAO, 1983 and Advisory Circular AC150-5335-5. The ACN is a number expressing the relative loading severity of an aircraft on a pavement for a specified standard subgrade strength. The PCN is a number expressing the bearing strength of a pavement for unrestricted operations. The ACN value of the new aircraft can then be compared to the
existing PCN value of the pavement to determine whether restricted or unrestricted operations of the new aircraft should be permitted.

ACN values for most of the aircrafts in use have been published by the ICAO and FAA and can be readily used for comparison with PCN values of the pavement under evaluation.

5.0 SUMMARY
Some aspects of airport pavement design and evaluation have been discussed with the intention of highlighting the available methods for the design and evaluation of airport pavement to cater for the new generation of super-sized aircraft such as Boeing 777 and Airbus A-380. The layered elastic design theory has been presented together with a brief discussion on some important aspects of pavement design, pavement evaluation, the ACN/PCN system and overlays design.
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